

An Educational Framework for Studying Helminth Diversity in Passerine Birds of the Fergana Valley

Gulshanoy Ghairatjon qizi Abduvahobova
Fergana State University

Received: 2026-10-10 | Accepted: 2026-10-11

Abstract

This article proposes a teaching framework that uses avian helminth ecology to develop ecological reasoning and quantitative data interpretation in undergraduate biology and teacher education. The Fergana Valley provides the regional context, with Fergana, Yazyavan, and Beshariq districts identified as possible settings for future comparative investigations. The framework was developed through a focused narrative synthesis of published studies on bird helminths in Uzbekistan, standard parasite ecology terminology, and literature on scientific inquiry and data interpretation. Its main components are a traceable data record, clearly defined infection indicators, a sequence of learning activities, and criteria for assessing student explanations. Learners distinguish host and parasite taxa, calculate prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance when the required counts are available, and evaluate whether habitat comparisons are supported by the sampling design. A numerical inconsistency in a published regional study is used to illustrate source verification. The proposed activities use published records and teaching materials without requiring new animal collection. The article presents a methodological proposal rather than new faunal observations or measured learning outcomes. Classroom evaluation is needed to establish its feasibility and educational effectiveness.

Keywords: biology education; scientific inquiry; ecological data literacy; avian helminths; Passeriformes; Fergana Valley

Introduction

Avian helminth ecology offers a concrete context for teaching how biological classification, feeding relationships, and quantitative evidence contribute to ecological explanations. Published research on birds in Uzbekistan provides records that can be examined in biology courses, but transferring those records into a lesson requires attention to their geographical coverage and methods. A parasite recorded elsewhere in Uzbekistan cannot automatically be treated as a confirmed species in a passerine population of the Fergana Valley.

The educational problem addressed here is how students can move from reading a species list to making an auditable ecological argument. That transition requires them to identify the population represented by a record, select a suitable infection indicator, and distinguish a possible transmission mechanism from an observed association. This

article proposes a framework for undergraduate biology and biology teacher education. It retains passerine birds as the host group and the Fergana, Yazyavan, and Beshariq districts as a context for future study design. Its contribution is a teaching sequence and assessment criteria; it does not establish the current helminth fauna of those districts.

Literature Review and Background

Regional studies supply useful comparative material. Akramova et al. (2021) investigated domestic and wild Galliformes in northwestern and northeastern Uzbekistan. Akramova et al. (2024) examined Galliformes and Passeriformes from several regions and reported cestodes, trematodes, and nematodes, with many parasites involving additional hosts in their life cycles. These studies justify discussing feeding relationships and transmission pathways in a lesson. Their coverage does not provide district-specific estimates for Fergana, Yazyavan, or Beshariq. Galliformes and Passeriformes must also remain separate host categories when extracting records.

The educational structure draws on Pedaste et al. (2015), who distinguish orientation, conceptualization, investigation, conclusion, and discussion as broad inquiry phases. The proposed module adapts these phases to a task based on existing biological records. The National Research Council (2012) similarly identifies analyzing data, using mathematical representations, and constructing explanations as scientific practices. Although that framework addresses school education, these practices can inform the preparation of future biology teachers. Neither source establishes the effectiveness of the particular module proposed here.

Methodology

The framework was developed through a focused narrative synthesis and educational design. The source set comprised two regional empirical studies, the terminology paper by Bush et al. (1997), an inquiry learning review, and a science education framework. Sources were selected for their relevance to regional avian parasitology, quantitative definitions, or teaching design. Their publisher records and accessible texts were checked on October 8, 2026. The review was purposive and did not use an exhaustive search, formal quality scoring, or meta-analysis.

The design process linked each biological task to an observable student product and an assessment criterion. Regional records informed the subject matter, standardized terminology informed the calculations, and inquiry literature informed the sequence. The resulting module is intended for students who have introductory knowledge of zoology and basic spreadsheet operations. It uses published records, teacher-prepared data extracts, and specimen images. No new bird examinations, student interventions, or learning measurements were conducted for this article. The results below therefore describe the proposed methodological products.

Results

A Traceable Biological Data Record

The first product is a data record that separates identification, ecological context, and

sampling effort. Table 1 defines the information required before learners compare groups. If individual records are available, the analytical unit should be one identified bird and one specified parasite taxon. Repeated records from the same bird must retain a common host identifier. Published aggregate tables should be retained as aggregates; they cannot be expanded into invented individual observations.

Table 1

Minimum fields for a teaching data record

Field	Required information	Purpose
Source and unit	Citation, page or table, host identifier or aggregate group	Trace each value and detect duplicates
Host	Scientific name and identification basis	Keep host species and orders distinct
Context	Locality, date, habitat, and their data source	Define comparable groups
Parasite	Taxon, identification level, organ, and count	Separate confirmed and uncertain records
Effort	Examined and infected hosts, examination method	Identify valid denominators

Note. Author-proposed fields. Unknown values remain missing. A zero requires an examination capable of detecting the specified taxon.

Infection Indicators and Calculation Rules

For a defined host group and parasite taxon, let N be the number of hosts examined, H the number infected, and W the number of parasite individuals counted. Following Bush et al. (1997), prevalence is $P = 100H/N$, mean intensity is $MI = W/H$, and mean abundance is $MA = W/N$. P is expressed as a percentage; MI and MA are parasite individuals per host. MI averages infected hosts, whereas MA includes all examined hosts. Individual intensity is the count in one infected host. The terms extensive infection and intensive infection in some regional literature correspond here to prevalence and mean intensity when these formulas are used.

The calculation sheet should reject impossible counts such as H greater than N . When N is positive and H is zero, P and MA are zero if no parasites were detected, while MI is undefined. With N equal to zero, none of these indicators can be estimated. Learners should also distinguish missing counts from observed zeros. A host-parasite presence table can support a species inventory, but it cannot supply prevalence without the number of hosts examined and infected.

An Inquiry Sequence for Biology Courses

Table 2 translates the proposed framework into tasks and assessable products. Teachers can distribute the sequence across several class meetings according to course time. The starting question is whether available records support a comparison of helminth occurrence between defined host groups or habitats. Learners must specify the host group, parasite taxon, and geographical scope before selecting records. The

activities adapt the inquiry phases described by Pedaste et al. (2015); their application to regional avian helminthology is the proposal developed in this article.

Table 2

Proposed inquiry tasks and assessment evidence

Phase	Student task	Assessment evidence
Orientation and question	Define hosts, parasite group, and comparison	A question with measurable variables
Investigation	Extract records and check their origin	A traceable table with missing values marked
Interpretation	Calculate valid indicators and compare groups	Correct denominators, units, and limitations
Conclusion and discussion	Explain findings and consider alternatives	A claim supported by cited evidence

Note. Author-proposed tasks. These are assessment criteria for a future implementation, not observed student outcomes. Reflection can accompany every phase.

A Worked Exercise in Source Verification

A published numerical inconsistency provides an exercise in checking secondary data. On page 13, Akramova et al. (2021) report 1,668 examined birds, 928 infected birds, and overall infection of 50.5%. Recalculation from those stated counts gives $100 \times 928/1,668 = 55.6\%$ after rounding. This is an arithmetic consistency check, not a revised estimate of the underlying population. Learners should preserve the original figures, flag the discrepancy, and request clarification before using the percentage in a comparison. The case also requires them to identify the hosts as Galliformes and to avoid transferring the value to Fergana Valley passerines.

Ecological Interpretation and Assessment

For future data from Fergana, Yazyavan, and Beshariq, comparisons should first be restricted to records with compatible host species, seasons, examination methods, and parasite definitions. District names alone do not define equivalent ecological conditions. Habitat and diet can generate hypotheses about exposure, but their contribution cannot be isolated if the host composition or collection period also differs. Likewise, a larger observed species list may reflect greater sampling effort rather than greater ecological diversity.

The proposed assessment examines calculation accuracy and the quality of an ecological argument separately. A successful explanation identifies its data source, gives the relevant denominator, connects a possible transmission pathway to the recorded host and parasite, and acknowledges an alternative explanation. An unsupported causal claim should reduce the interpretation score even when the arithmetic is correct. This rubric makes the intended learning visible without assuming that students have already achieved it.

Discussion

The framework connects regional biological content with a teachable sequence of evidence checks. Its practical value lies in requiring a learner to explain what a number represents before using it in an ecological conclusion. The source verification exercise makes that requirement concrete: a published percentage remains open to checking against its reported counts. The data record also gives teachers a way to discuss identification uncertainty and missing information without filling those gaps with assumptions.

Implementation can begin with published tables and labeled images, allowing institutions with limited laboratory access to teach data interpretation. Existing images should retain their attribution, scale information when available, and identification basis. A picture alone may not justify species identification. The proposed teaching module does not require students to capture or dissect birds. Any later field investigation should be a separate research activity with its own sampling protocol, institutional oversight, and relevant permissions; it should not be represented as an activity already performed for this article.

The main limitation is the absence of classroom evaluation. A pilot should compare students' performance on equivalent tasks before and after the module, using explicit scoring criteria and, where feasible, a suitable comparison group. Tasks should assess denominator selection, recognition of missing values, and the distinction between association and causation. Teachers should record implementation time and difficulties alongside scores. Such a study would require an appropriate educational research protocol and participant arrangements. Until then, claims about learning gains, engagement, or transfer to new ecological problems remain untested.

Conclusion

Avian helminthology can be developed into a teaching context for ecological data interpretation while retaining the biological focus on passerine birds of the Fergana Valley. The proposed framework provides a traceable record, defined infection indicators, inquiry tasks, and assessment criteria. It treats regional publications as material for critical analysis and future local study design. Its present contribution is methodological. Establishing the helminth fauna of the named districts requires documented observations, and demonstrating the module's educational value requires classroom evaluation.

Declarations

Funding: This work received no external funding.

Competing interests: The author declares no competing interests.

Data and ethics: This methodological article analyzes published material and proposes teaching activities. It reports no new animal sampling or research involving student participants.

Use of artificial intelligence: ChatGPT (OpenAI) assisted with restructuring, language editing, reference verification, and drafting the educational framework. The

author is responsible for reviewing the final text, calculations, interpretations, and sources before submission.

References

- Akramova, F. D., Arepbaev, I. M., Erkulov, Zh. M., Saidova, Sh. O., Mirzaeva, A. U., & Azimov, D. A. (2024). Fauna i trofiko-ekologicheskie osobennosti gel'mintov sukhoputnykh ptits (Aves: Galliformes, Passeriformes) Uzbekistana [Fauna and trophic-ecological features of helminths of land birds (Aves: Galliformes, Passeriformes) of Uzbekistan]. *Scientific Review. Biological Science*, (4), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.17513/srbs.1379>
- Akramova, F. D., Shakarbaev, U. A., Jangabaev, A. S., Arepbaev, I. M., Rabbimov, S. Sh., & Azimov, D. A. (2021). Gel'minty ptits domashnikh i dikikh kuroobraznykh (Aves: Galliformes) Uzbekistana [Helminths of domestic and wild chicken birds (Aves: Galliformes) of Uzbekistan]. *Russian Journal of Parasitology*, 15(2), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.31016/1998-8435-2021-15-2-11-16>
- Bush, A. O., Lafferty, K. D., Lotz, J. M., & Shostak, A. W. (1997). Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *The Journal of Parasitology*, 83(4), 575–583. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3284227>
- National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/13165>
- Pedaste, M., Mäeots, M., Siiman, L. A., de Jong, T., van Riesen, S. A. N., Kamp, E. T., Manoli, C. C., Zacharia, Z. C., & Tsourlidaki, E. (2015). Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. *Educational Research Review*, 14, 47–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003>

How to cite: Gulshanoy Ghairatjon qizi Abduvahobova. (2026). An Educational Framework for Studying Helminth Diversity in Passerine Birds of the Fergana Valley. *Central Asian Journal of Integrative Innovation*, 1-6.